## **MSU-Northern Mission Statement:**

MSU-Northern provides higher education to students for professional and technical careers through an institution dedicated to teaching and the pursuit of knowledge.



# **MSU-Northern Core Themes**

Provide liberal arts, professional and technical programs that serve a diverse student population.

Promote student centered and culturally enriched environment which fosters student success.

Partner with external entities to enhance and expand learning experiences.



# **CET Program Objectives**

Graduates of civil engineering technology programs will have the technical and managerial skills necessary to enter careers in the planning, design, construction, operation or maintenance of the built environment and global infrastructure.



## **CET Program Outcomes**

Students shall demonstrate an ability to apply knowledge, techniques, skills and modern tools of mathematics, science, engineering, and technology to solve broadly-defined engineering problems appropriate to the discipline;

Students shall demonstrate an ability to design systems, components, or processes meeting specified needs for broadly-defined engineering problems appropriate to the discipline;

Students shall demonstrate an ability to apply written, oral, and graphical communication in broadly-defined technical and non-technical environments; and an ability to identify and use appropriate technical literature;

Students shall demonstrate an ability to conduct standard tests, measurements, and experiments and to analyze and interpret the results to improve processes; and

Students shall demonstrate an ability to function effectively as a member as well as a leader on technical teams.

# MSU-Northern BS - Civil Engineering Technology Assessment Cycle 2018-2020

#### MSU-Northern's Mission Statement

### MSU Northern provides higher education to students for profe Approved by the Board of Regents on May 20, 2016 Vision Statement ABET ETAC Commission Outcomes 2019-2020 Performance Indicators Where Measured When Measured % Attainment local, regional, and state workforce needs, offered in an atmosphere that promotes student success. Semester as selected by the campus community on April 16, 2012 SPRING SPRING 83.33% **CET Educational Objectives** MSU Northern Core Themes SPRING SPRING 3 Partner with external entities to enhance and expand learning experiences SPRING SPRING an ability to function effectively as a member as well as a leader on technical teams.

2018-2020	ABET ETAC Commission	Outcome: 1		demonstrate an ability to apply knowledge, techniques, skills and modern tools of mathematics, scien ring, and technology to solve broadly-defined engineering problems appropriate to the discipline				
Performance Indicator:		Unsatisfactory 1	Developing 2	Satisfactory 3	Exceeds Required	Where Measured		
ETCC 411 - Mathcad Design of Concrete Beams		Did not Complete	Major Errors	Completed with Minor Errors or Poor Formatting	Completed with No Errors and Format Expains Solution	ETCC 411 Mathcad Lab		
	Total					Semester Assessed		
Students in Each Group	3	1		1	1	Spring 2020		
Percent of Students meeting outcome	66.7%							
		Comments for Cont	inuous Improvements:	One Student did Hand Ca	alculations - Need to Reinforc	e Assigment Requirements		
Performance Indicator:								
ETCC 499 Design Compo	onent	Basic or Incomplete	CADD Design without	Complete CADD Design	CADD Design, Calcs	ETCC 499		
, i		CADD Design	Design Calculations or		References, and	Final Design		
		0.122.203.8.1	References	References	Specifications			
	Total					Semester Assessed		
Students in Each Group	5			3	2	Spring 2019		
Percent of Students meeting	100.0%					83.:		

**Comments for Continuous Improvements:** 

100.0%

outcome

CADD Files Included, but due to size, Student Portfolios on File with Calculations

Average Percent Meeting Outcome

83.3%

2019-2020 /	ABET ETAC Commission	Outcome: 2	Students shall demonstrate a	emonstrate an ability to design systems, components, or processes meeting specified needs for broad defined engineering problems appropriate to the discipline				
Performance Indicator:		Unsatisfactory 1	Developing 2	Satisfactory 3	Exceeds Required 4	Where Measured		
ETCC 302 Soils and Foundations Design and Society Classification		Did Not Complete	Design incorrectly with major errors in methods.	Design with correct methods, but with minor errors.	Design with correct equations and methods w/o errors.	ETCC 302 Foundation Design		
	Total					Semester Assessed		
Students in Each Group	4	1		2	1	Spring 2020		
Percent of Students meeting outcome	75.0%							
		Comments for Conf	tinuous Improvements:					
Performance Indicator:								
ETCC 411 - Mix Design		Did Not Complete	Design Concrete mix	Design Concrete mix with	Design Concrete mix with	ETCC 411		
		·	incorrectly with major	correct methods, but with	correct equations and	Mix Design		
			errors in methods.	minor errors.	methods w/o errors.			
	Total					Semester Assessed		
Students in Each Group	5				5	Spring 2019		
Percent of Students meeting	100.0%					87.		

87.5%

Average Percent Meeting Outcome

**Comments for Continuous Improvements:** 

100.0%

outcome

2019-2020	ABET ETAC Commissio	on Outcome: 3			l, and graphical communication to identify and use appropriate	•
Performance Indicator:		Unsatisfactory 1	Developing 2	Satisfactory 3	Exceeds Required 4	Where Measured
Oral - ETCC 489 Presentation and Report		Did not Present	Report or Presentation Lacking Technical Facts or Background	Interpretation but	Correct Technical Data and Correct Interpretation and	ETCC 489 Presentation and Report
Total			or background	Presentation	Presentation	Semester Assessed
Students in Each Group	5			1	4	Fall 2018
Percent of Students meeting outcome	100.0%	Comments for Conti	nuous Improvements:	Collected Audience Feedba	ack on Group - Add Question al	oout Individual perfomanc
Performance Indicator:		<u>comments for conta</u>	madas improvemento.		•	·
Graphical - ETCC 499 CA	DD Drawings	CADD Drawing very	CADD Drawing does	CADD Drawing shows	CADD Drawing shows	ETCC 499
•	, and the second	Basic or Incomplete	not convey design	=	dimensional properties	CADD Drawing
		without dimensions,	dimensions or concept		in more than one view.	
	Total	materials, or location.	of item.	without errors.		Semester Assessed
Students in Each Group	5	1			4	Fall 2019
Percent of Students meeting	80.0%			Ave	rage Percent Meeting Outcome	90

**Comments for Continuous Improvements:** 

outcome

Have Students turn in individual sheets in addition to Group Drawings

Average Percent Meeting Outcome

2019-2020	ABET ETAC Commissio	n Outcome: 4	Students shall demonstrate a interpret the results to impro	•	tests, measurements, and experiments and to analyze and			
Performance Indicator:		Unsatisfactory 1	Developing 2	Satisfactory 3	Exceeds Required 4	Where Measured		
ETCC 302 ASTM D698 Standard Proctor		Performed test incorrectly with major errors, or did not turn	Performed the test correctly but had errors in results.	Performed the test correctly but had errors in report	Performed test correctly and reported results that were	ETCC 302 Lab Report		
	Total	in report			correct	Semester Assessed		
Students in Each Group Percent of Students meeting outcome	100.0%			1	2	Spring 2019		
		Comments for Conti	nuous Improvements:					
Performance Indicator:								
ETCC 411 ASTM C138 Air Entrainment		Performed test incorrectly with major errors, or did not turn	Performed the test correctly but had	Performed the test correctly but had errors in report	Performed test correctly and reported results that were	ETCC 411 Lab Report		
	Total		errors in results.	errors in report	correct	Semester Assessed		
Students in Each Group	3		1	1	1	Spring 2019		
Percent of Students meeting	66.7%					83.3		

83.3%

Average Percent Meeting Outcome

**Comments for Continuous Improvements:** 

66.7%

outcome

2019-2020	ABET ETAC Commission	Outcome: 5	Students shall demonstrate a	n ability to function effectively	as a member as well as a lead	er on technical teams
		Unsatisfactory	Developing	Satisfactory	Exceeds Required	Where Measured
Performance Indicator: 1			2	3	4	
ETCC 489 - Team Leader/Group Feedback		Group rating for student averaged less than 50% effort	Group rating for student averaged between 51%-70%	Group rating for student averaged between 71%-85%	Group rating for student averaged between 86%-100%	ETCC 489 Student Feedback Leader
	Total	tilali 50% ellolt	effort	effort	effort	Semester Assessed
Students in Each Group	5			1	4	Fall 2019
Percent of Students meeting outcome	100.0%					
		Comments for Contin	nuous Improvements:			
Performance Indicator:						
SRVY 230 Survey Crew I	Member on Pipelien	Attended Lab	Participated with	Participated or Led	Durania wa a Ulaba a d	SRVY 230
Lab	,		group, but not	Group, discussed	Previous + Helped	Lab Exercise
		unprepared and did not participate with	involved on plan of	planning and results	other members	
	Total	Group.	how to perform lab.	with group members.	complete their jobs.	Semester Assessed
Students in Each Group	7			7		Spring 2019
Percent of Students meeting	100.0%	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		100.0%

**Comments for Continuous Improvements:** 

100.0%

outcome

Assessment is easier if Instructor fills out rubric during the Lab or adds an online survey for students to complete after lab.

Average Percent Meeting Outcome

100.0%

# Name of Program: Bachelor of Science in Engineering Technology: Civil Engineering Technology

	Academic - Year			En	rollmen	t Year		Total Undergrad	Total Grad		Degrees	Awarded	
			1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	ר		Associates	Bachelors	Masters	Doctorates
Current		FT	3	4	0	5		12	0		3		
2020-2021		PT					1	1	0				
1		FT	4	2	4	4	2	16	0	1	5		
2019-2020		PT				1		1	0				
2		FT	2	6	3	7	0	18	0	1	7	3	
2018-2019		PT				1	1	2	0				
3		FT	3	3	6	11	0	23	0		7		
2017-2018		PT	1		1			2	0				
4		FT	6	5	9	4	1	25	0	1	4		
2016-2017		PT		1		2	1	4	0				

Give official fall term enrollment figures (head count) for the current and preceding four academic years and undergraduate and graduate degrees conferred during each of those years. The "current" year means the academic year preceding the on-site visit.

FT--full time PT--part time